1	Mark A. O'Brien (SBN 128076) THE RUTTENBERG LAW FIRM, P.C.	FILED
2	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1020 Los Angeles, CA 90067	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	Tel: (310) 979-7080	06/16/2022
4	Fax: (310) 207-4033	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: J. Nichols Deputy
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK A. O'BRIEN	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	MARK A. O'BRIEN, an individual,	Case No. 21VECV00359
12	Plaintiff,	[Case filed on 3/17/21; assigned to Hon. Shirley K. Watkins, Dept. T]
13	v. ()	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE
14	ELISHA FARA LANDMAN, an individual; and) DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
21	On May 9, 2022, in an MSC before the Hon. Paul A. Bacigalupo, the parties and their	
22	counsel agreed in a signed settlement agreement to settle all claims and issues between the	
23	parties. Part of that agreement requires Plaintiff to dismiss this action against Defendant, without	
24	prejudice, but allows the Court to retain continuing, vestigial jurisdiction under CCP § 664.6 to	
25	hear any disputes over interpretation, breach, or enforcement of the parties' settlement	
26	agreement. The parties, with the approval of their authorized counsel, now stipulate to allow the	
27	Court, despite Plaintiff's dismissal of this action, to retain continuing, vestigial jurisdiction under	
28	-1- STIPULATION AND ORDER RE COUR	
	UNDER CO	

1	CCP § 664.6 to hear any disputes over interpretation, breach, or enforcement of the parties'	
2	settlement agreement.	
3	SO STIPULATED AND AGREED:	
4	Dated: May 12, 2022	Mark Q. O'Brien
5		Mark A. O'Brien Plaintiff
6		
7	Dated: June 14, 2022	Elisha Fara Landman
8		Defendant
9	Approved by Counsel as to Form:	
10	Dated: May 12, 2022 TH	HE RUTTENBERG LAW FIRM, P.C.
11		
12	Ву	7: <u>Mark A. O'Brien</u> Mark A. O'Brien
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK A. O'BRIEN
14		WARK A. O BRIEN
15	Dated: June 13, 2022 DANA M. DOUGLAS ATTORNEY AT LAW	
16	D	deanamongas
17	By	Dana M. Douglas
18		Attorney for Defendant ELISHA FARA LANDMAN
19		
20	<u>ORDER</u>	
21	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court, despite Plaintiff's dismissal of this action,	
22	shall retain continuing, vestigial jurisdiction under CCP § 664.6 to hear any disputes over	
23	interpretation, breach, or enforcement of the parties' settlement agreement.	
24		7 Du-
25	Dated:, 2022	Shirley K. Watkins / Judge
26		HON. SHIRLEY K. WATKINS Judge of the Superior Court
27		
28	-2- STIPULATION AND ORDER RE COURT'S CONTINUING JURISDICTION	
	STIFULATION AND ORDER	RE COURT 5 CONTINUING JURISDICTION

UNDER CCP § 664.6